



United States Department of the Interior



BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
Alaska State Office
222 West Seventh Avenue, #13
Anchorage, Alaska 99513-7504
www.blm.gov/alaska

In Reply Refer To:
AA-92408
1864 (LLAK9420)

DEC 07 2017

CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

DECISION

State of Alaska	:	AA-92408
Department of Natural Resources	:	Recordable Disclaimer of Interest
Division of Mining, Land & Water	:	Application
Public Access Assertion & Defense Unit	:	
550 West Seventh Avenue, Suite 1420	:	Kisaralik Lake and River System
Anchorage, Alaska 99501-3579	:	River Miles 74-99

ADMINISTRATIVE WAIVER GRANTED APPLICATION APPROVED

On November 30, 2010, the State of Alaska (State) filed with the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) an application for a recordable disclaimer of interest (AA-92408) under the provisions of Section 315 of the Federal Land Policy Management Act of October 21, 1976 (FLPMA), 43 U.S.C. §1745, and the regulations contained in 43 CFR Subpart 1864, for the lands underlying the Kisaralik Lake and River system within the Kuskokwim River Region, Alaska, located in southwestern Alaska.¹ The State's application included "the submerged lands and bed up to and including the ordinary high water line of Kisaralik Lake within Township 03 North, Range 58 West, Seward Meridian, and for the submerged lands and bed of the Kisaralik River lying between the ordinary high water lines of the right and left banks of that river from the outlet of Kisaralik Lake within Township 03 North, Range 58 West, Seward Meridian, Alaska, downstream to the location where the river enters the Kuskokuak Slough within Township 09 South, Range 67 West, Seward Meridian, Alaska."

The State filed an amended RDI application to modify the "Description of the Waterway" in Section 1 because there was a typographical error. The State typed Township 09 South and it

¹Tom Irwin, Commissioner, Alaska-Department of Natural Resources, to Henri Bisson, BLM-Alaska State Director, October 3, 2005, file FF-094615 (1864), Alaska State Office, BLM records.

should have been Township 09 North. The new description states, “This application is submitted for the submerged lands and bed up to and including the ordinary high water line of Kisaralik Lake within Township 03 North, Range 58 West, Seward Meridian and for the submerged lands and bed of the Kisaralik River lying between the ordinary high water lines of the right and left banks of that river from the outlet of Kisaralik Lake within Township 03 North, Range 58 West, Seward Meridian, Alaska, downstream to the location where the river enters the Kuskokuak Slough within Township 09 North, Range 67 West, Seward Meridian, Alaska.”²

On November 23, 2015, the State advised that “you may delete from our application that portion of the Kisaralik River conveyed to Kokarmiut Corp. and Calista Corp. by I.C. 610 & 611 from the forks in Section 13 of T. 9 N., R. 67 W., SM (Mile 9.5) upstream through Section 12, T. 8 N., R. 65 W., SM (Mile 29).”³

The State contends that Kisaralik Lake and River are navigable, and the application for a disclaimer of interest is based upon entitlement under the Equal Footing Doctrine, the Submerged Lands Act of May 22, 1953, the Submerged Lands Act of 1988 (P.L. 100-395), and the Alaska Statehood Act.

The Submerged Lands Act of 1953, 43 U.S.C. §1311(a), granted and confirmed to the states title to the lands beneath inland navigable waters within the boundaries of the respective states. It also gave the states the right and power to manage and administer these lands in accordance with state law. Section 6(m) of the Alaska Statehood Act, July 7, 1958, made the Submerged Lands Act applicable to Alaska.⁴ Section 315(a) of FLPMA authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to issue a document of disclaimer of interest(s) in any lands in any form suitable for recordation where the disclaimer will help remove a cloud on the title of such lands and where he determines a record interest of the United States in lands has terminated by operation of law or is otherwise invalid.

This decision relates only to Kisaralik River miles 74-99.

BACKGROUND

In support of its application, the State submitted the “Kisaralik River System Final Summary Report” dated January 15, 2010. The State also sent an email with two attachments on March 23, 2017, detailing the State’s reasoning that certain modern boats used on the Kisaralik River are meaningfully similar to those customarily used for trade and travel at the date of Alaska’s statehood, January 3, 1959, for commerce.

On October 9, 2012, notice of the State’s application was published in the *Federal Register*.⁵ The BLM prepared a draft navigability report, “Summary Report on the Federal Interest in Lands

² David W. Schade, DNR Navigability Subunit Manager to Craig Frichtl, Chief, Branch of Survey Preparation and Planning, BLM, August 21, 2012, file AA-092408 (1864), BLM records.

³ See Email correspondence, dated November 23, 2015, James Walker to Jack Frost, file AA-92408 (1864), BLM records. The reference document is also available in this file.

⁴ 72 Stat. 339, 343

⁵ Vol 77, No. 195, FR 61427-61428

Underlying Kisaralik River and Kisaralik Lake in the Kuskokwim Subregion, Alaska” describing the State’s application and supporting evidence, riparian land status, physical character and historical uses.

The State of Alaska published a Public Notice of the State’s application and the availability of the draft navigability report in the *Anchorage Daily News* (November 6, 13 and 20, 2012). The BLM posted information about this application, including the draft navigability report, on the BLM-Alaska website.

The BLM sent copies of its draft navigability report to the following: State of Alaska (Departments of Natural Resources and Fish and Game); the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS); Akiachak, Limited; Calista Corporation; Bethel Native Corporation; Kokarmiut Corporation; Kwethluk, Incorporated; Tulkisarmute, Incorporated; and The Kuskokwim Corporation. The notices invited review and comments and afforded each recipient an opportunity to provide additional information. The comment period ended on January 9, 2013.

On December 17, 2012, the State of Alaska requested a 30-day extension to the January 9, 2013 deadline for comments on the *Federal Register* notice. On February 7, 2013, Kevin Sorenson, with the State, requested an additional 30-day extension to comment by email.

During the published notice period, the BLM received comments from the State of Alaska, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Kokarmiut Corporation. The BLM received no other comments.

On December 31, 2012, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service responded in a memorandum concurring with the BLM’s draft summary report. They specifically stated that they did not feel the State’s application provided “site-specific evidence clearly demonstrating past use, or susceptibility for use as a ‘highway of commerce.’” The BLM does not address the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service comments in the final report because the comments do not provide substantive factual evidence bearing on navigability that would change the BLM summary report.

On January 9, 2013, the Kokarmiut Corporation responded in a letter vehemently opposing the “proposed navigability designation of the Kisaralik River.” They stated that they have used this “river for subsistence food of fish and game for many, many years or since the time of immemorial”. They enclosed a resolution adopted by the four nearby villages of Tuluksak, Kwethluk, Akiachak, and Akiak opposing the navigability designation proposed by the State of Alaska. They would like to protect and manage the lands under the Kisaralik River. Again, the BLM does not address these comments in the final report because the comments do not provide substantive factual evidence bearing on navigability that would change the BLM summary report.

On March 11, 2013, the State of Alaska provided comments to the BLM on the draft Kisaralik Lake and River System summary report. The State concurred with the BLM’s conclusion that the Kisaralik River is navigable from mile 0-9.5 and mile 29-74. The State representatives disagreed with the BLM’s findings that the Kisaralik River from mile 74-99 is not navigable, and

“the BLM’s decision not to make a navigability determination, or issue an RDI on its previous finding of navigability, for the river between mile 99 and mile 116.” The State did not provide additional factual evidence bearing on the BLM’s determination on the portions of the river where the BLM found it to be non-navigable and therefore their comments are not addressed in the final summary report.

On September 1, 2017, the BLM issued a decision rejecting the State’s application for the lands underlying the Kisaralik Lake and River System for river miles 74-99. The BLM based this decision on the BLM summary report titled “Summary Report on the Federal Interest in Lands Underlying Kisaralik Lake and River System in the Kuskokwim Subregion, Alaska.” The report referred to a lack of evidence and the three distinct waterfalls which make it difficult for boats to navigate upstream. During the 30-day appeal period, the State asked the BLM to reconsider its decision to reject the portion of the Kisaralik Lake and River System located in miles 74-99.

On October 5, 2017, the BLM vacated its September 1, 2017 decision rejecting a portion of the State’s application for river miles 74-99 of the Kisaralik Lake and River System.

ADMINISTRATIVE WAIVER GRANTED

Pursuant to 43 CFR 1864.1-2(c)(1) and (d), unless a waiver is granted, a legal description of the lands for which a disclaimer is sought must be based on either an official United States public land survey, or a metes and bounds survey tied to the nearest corner of an official public land survey. In its application, the State of Alaska requested a waiver of this requirement under 43 CFR 1864.1-2(d). The Kisaralik Lake and River System is easily identifiable on United States Geological Survey (USGS) topographic maps Bethel B-1-4, C-3-6, D-4-6.⁶ Therefore, the BLM grants the waiver of the survey requirement.

APPLICATION APPROVED

The Federal test of navigability is found in *The Daniel Ball*, 77 U.S. (10 Wall.) 557 (1870). There, the U.S. Supreme Court stated: “Those rivers must be regarded as public navigable rivers in law which are navigable in fact. And they are navigable in fact when they are used, or are susceptible of being used, in their ordinary condition, as highways for commerce, over which trade and travel are or may be conducted in the customary modes of trade and travel on water.”

In assessing the navigability of inland water bodies, the BLM relies upon this test as well as Federal statutes, Federal case law, and the advice of the Department of the Interior’s Office of the Solicitor. Relevant Federal statutes include the Submerged Lands Act of 1953 and the Submerged Lands Act of 1988. The Supreme Court’s most recent decision on title navigability, *PPL Montana, LLC v. Montana*, 132 S. Ct. 1215 (2012), summarizes and explains the proper interpretation of *The Daniel Ball* criteria. Additional guidance is provided in *Alaska v. Ahtna, Inc.*, 891 F.2d 1401 (9th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 495 U.S. 919 (1990) [Gulkana River]; *Alaska v. United States*, 754 F.2d 851 (9th Cir. 1983), *cert. denied*, 474 U.S. 968 (1985) [Slopbucket Lake]; and *Appeal of Doyon, Ltd.*, Alaska Native Claims Appeal Board RLS 76-2, 86 I.D. 692 (1979) [Kandik and Nation Rivers].

⁶ USGS 1:63,360 Topographic Maps: Bethel B-1-4, C-3-6, D-4-6.

In cases concerning pre-statehood reservations, BLM uses the established criteria set out and applied in Alaska cases including *Alaska v. United States*, 545 U.S. 75 (2005) (“*Glacier Bay*”); *United States v. Alaska*, 521 U.S. 1 (1997) (“*Arctic Coast/Dinkum Sands*”); *Utah Division of Lands v. United States*, 482 U.S. 193 (1987) (Utah Lake); *Alaska v. United States*, No. 98-35310 (9th Cir. 2000) [Kukpowruk River]; *Alaska v. United States*, 102 IBLA 357 (1988) (Katalla River); and *United States v. Alaska*, 423 F.2d 764, 1 ERC 1195, (9th Cir. December 21, 1970) (Tustumena Lake).

After further review of its decision rejecting river miles 74-99, the BLM determined that based on the Supreme Court’s most recent decision on title navigability, *PPL Montana, LLC v. Montana*, 132 S. Ct. 1215 (2012) pages 17-18, the BLM’s initial analysis did not take into consideration the over-segmentation of the water body. *PPL Montana, LLC v. Montana* discusses a *de-minimis* exception citing *Heller, The Tragedy of the Anticommons*, 111 Harv. L. Rev. 621, 682-684 (1998) which explains that over segmentation could “paralyze the alienability of scarce resources... or diminish their value too drastically”. The Kisaralik has previously been divided into five segments, with river miles 0-9.5, 29-74 and 99-116 disclaimed on November 3, 2017. Rather than segmenting it further to exclude the short reaches of the Upper, Lower, and Golden Gate Falls, BLM has decided in this case to approve the State’s application in a manner that promotes continuity and minimizes any problems associated with over-segmentation.

The United States affirms it has no interest in the lands described below because all of the federal interests have passed to the State of Alaska. Approving the State’s application for a recordable disclaimer of interest will remove a cloud on the title by providing certainty about the ownership of submerged lands underlying the Kisaralik River and Lake. Without this certainty, ownership between the two sovereigns, the State of Alaska and the United States, is unclear. This lack of clarity of sovereign ownership greatly complicates the application of natural resource laws and other laws to the submerged lands involved.

The State’s application for a recordable disclaimer of interest is hereby approved as follows:

The Kisaralik River from the Golden Gate Falls located in township 5 north, range 62 west, Seward Meridian, Alaska (approximate mile 74) to the eastern township boundary of township 3 north, range 61 west, Seward Meridian, Alaska (approximate mile 99).

HOW TO APPEAL THIS DECISION

This decision may be appealed to the Interior Board of Land Appeals, Office of the Secretary, in accordance with the regulations contained in 43 CFR Part 4 and the enclosed Form 1842-1. If an appeal is taken, your notice of appeal must be filed in this office (either at the above address or the e-mail address set forth on Form 1842-1) within 30 days from receipt of this decision. The appellant has the burden of showing that the decision appealed from is in error.

If you wish to file a petition pursuant to regulations contained in 43 CFR 4.21 for a stay of the effectiveness of this decision during the time that your appeal is being reviewed by the Board, the petition for a stay must accompany your notice of appeal. A petition for a stay is required to show sufficient justification based on the standards listed below.

Copies of the notice of appeal and petition for a stay, if any, must be submitted to each party named in this decision, the Interior Board of Land Appeals, and to the appropriate Office of the Solicitor (see 43 CFR 4.413 and Form 1842-1) at the same time the original documents are filed with this office.

Standards for Obtaining a Stay

Except as otherwise provided by law or other pertinent regulation, a petition for a stay of a decision pending appeal must show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- 1) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied;
- 2) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits;
- 3) The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted; and
- 4) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.



Karen E. Mouritsen
Acting State Director

cc (w/o enclosure):

James Walker
Alaska Department of Natural Resources
Division of Mining, Land and Water
550 West 7th Avenue, Suite 1420
Anchorage, Alaska 99501-3579

Mark Fink, Access Defense Program Manager
Alaska Department of Fish and Game
333 Raspberry Road
Anchorage, Alaska 99518-1565

Gregory Siekaniec, Regional Director
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1011 East Tudor Road
Anchorage, Alaska 99503-6199

John Trawicki, Branch Chief
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 7
1011 E. Tudor Road
Anchorage, Alaska 99503-6199

Refuge Manager
Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
P.O. Box 346, MS 535
Bethel, Alaska 99559

Akiachak, Limited
P.O. Box 51010
Akiachak, Alaska 99551-0010

Bethel Native Corporation
P.O. Box 719
Bethel, Alaska 99559-0719

Calista Corporation
Attn: Land Department
5015 Business Park Blvd., #3000
Anchorage, Alaska 99518

Kokarmuit Corporation
Attn: Land Department
P.O. Box 195
Akiak, Alaska 99552-9999

The Kuskokwim Corporation
4300 B Street, Suite 207
Anchorage, Alaska 99503

Kwethluk, Incorporated
P.O. Box 109
Kwethluk, Alaska 99621

Tulkisarmute, Incorporated
P.O. Box 65
Tuluksak, Alaska 99679

District Manager, Anchorage (A00)